

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of)
MOHAMMED M. SIDDIQUI

For Appellant: Mohammed M. Siddiqui, in pro. per.

For Respondent: Crawford H. Thomas

Chief Counsel

James P. Corn

Counsel

OPINION

This appeal is made pursuant to section 19059 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board in denving the claim of Mohammed M. Siddiqui for refund of personal income tax in the amount of \$129.08 for the year 1969.

The sole question for decision is whether appellant was entitled to the status of "head of house-&id" for purposes of filing his 1969 California personal income tax return.

Appellantwas a California resident during 1969. On December 24, 1969, his then wife, Roswitha, obtained an interlocutory judgment of divorce. The interlocutory decree provided that the divorce would not become final until one year from November 15, 1969, the date of service of the summons and complaint upon appellant.

On his California personal income tax return for 1969 appellant indicated his status as "single." Subsequently he filed an amended return in which he claimed to be an "unmarried head of household," Respondent denied appellant's refund claim on the ground that his marital status as of December 31, 1969, prevented him from filing as head of household for that year.

Appeal of Mohammed M. Siddiaui

Section 17042 of the Revenue. and Taxation Code provides:

For purposes of this part, an individual shall be considered a head of household if, and only if, such individual is not married at the close of his taxable year,...

The phrase "not married", as it is used here, is defined to include "[a]n individual who is legally separated from his spouse under a final decree of divorce or a decree of separate maintenance...." (Emphasis added.)" (Rev. & Tax, Code, § 17043, subd.(b).)

In 1969, it was the law in California that an interlocutory decree of divorce would become final only after one year had elapsed from the commencement of the divorce proceedings, i.e. from the date of service of copy of summons and complaint upon the defendant spouse. (Civ. Code, § 132) Effective January 1, 1970, that one-year waiting period was reduced to retained to Coiv. (Coiv. Code, § 4514.) Using either time period, appellant's divorce could not have become final until after December 31, 1959. Consequently we agree with respondent that for purposes of section 17042 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, appellant was still married as of the close of his taxable year ended December 31, 1969, and he was therefore not entitled to claim head of household status in his 1969 tax return. This conclusion is consistent with our decision in Appeal of J. Albert and Augusta F. Hutchinson. Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., August 5, 1968.

ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

BUT AND SHAPE

Appeal of Mohammed M. Siddiqui

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED,. pursuant to section 19060 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board in denying the claim of Mohammed M. Siddiqui for refund of personal income tax in the amount of \$129.08 for the year 1969, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 14th day of September, 1972, by the State-Board of Equalization.

_Secretary

Chairman

Member

, Member

Member

Member

ΔΥΥΈςΥ:

-345-